

Response to Restriction Requirement
U.S.S.N. 09/338,063
Page 2

Restriction Requirement

The Office Action, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §121, requires an election of species for further prosecution on the merits. The Office Action alleges that the application contains the following inventions, namely:

Invention I: Claims 32-35, drawn to antibodies, classified in Class 530, subclasses 387.1 and 38.1.

Invention II: Claim 36, drawn to a method of determining protein concentration, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.

The Office Action requires an election of the invention to be examined.

Responsive to the Office Action, Applicants hereby provisionally elect, *with traverse*, claims 32-35, drawn to Invention I.

The Examiner is invited to call the undersigned at (617) 248-7044 with any questions regarding this communication.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 16, 1999
Reg. No. 44,244

Tel. No. (617) 248-7044
Fax: (617) 248-7100

Rmoore3999/63.907023-1

Ronda P. Moore, D.V.M.
Ronda P. Moore, D.V.M.
Attorney for Applicants
Testa, Hurwitz, & Thibeault, LLP
High Street Tower
125 High Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02110